

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE AUTOMOTIVE PARTS  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 2:12-md-02311  
Hon. Sean F. Cox

IN RE EXHAUST SYSTEMS

Case No. 2:16-cv-11082  
Case No. 2:16-cv-03703

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
End-Payor Actions

**FINAL JUDGMENT APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT  
BETWEEN END-PAYOR PLAINTIFFS AND BOSAL INDUSTRIES  
GEORGIA, INC. AND BOSAL USA, INC. AND ENTERING DISMISSAL  
WITH PREJUDICE AS TO BOSAL INDUSTRIES GEORGIA, INC. AND  
BOSAL USA, INC.**

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this Court should not approve the settlement between End-Payor Plaintiffs (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendants Bosal Industries Georgia, Inc. and Bosal USA, Inc. (together the “Bosal Defendants”), set forth in the Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”), dated and effective as of June 8, 2021, relating to the above-captioned action (the “Action”). The Court, after carefully considering all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises, has determined that (1) the settlement should be approved and (2) there is no just reason for delay of the entry of this final judgment approving the Agreement (“Judgment”). Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to the parties to the Agreement. Good cause appearing therefore, it is:

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The definitions of terms set forth in the Agreement are incorporated herein as though fully set forth in the Judgment.
2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“Rule”) 23(g), Cotchett, Pitre, & McCarthy LLP, Robins Kaplan LLP, and Susman Godfrey L.L.P., previously appointed by the Court as Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel, are appointed as Counsel for the Settlement Class. These firms have, and will, fairly and competently represent the interests of the Settlement Class.
3. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, over the Action, and over the parties to the Agreement, including all members of the Settlement Class.

4. Plaintiffs, having filed a complaint in the Action alleging that the Bosal Defendants conspired to rig bids, allocate markets and fix prices for Exhaust Systems, and the Bosal Defendants, having denied Plaintiffs' allegations and having represented they would assert defenses thereto, have entered into the Agreement to settle the Action with respect to Exhaust Systems, without admitting liability, to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and the distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation, to obtain the releases, orders, and judgment contemplated by the Agreement; and to put to rest with finality all claims that have been or could have been asserted against the Bosal Defendants with respect to Exhaust Systems. Pursuant to the Agreement, the Bosal Defendants have also agreed to provide specified monetary compensation to Plaintiffs.

5. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class pursuant to Rule 23.

6. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the individual and class claims asserted against the Bosal Defendants, with Plaintiffs and the Bosal Defendants to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided herein.

7. All persons and entities who are Releasers are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either directly or indirectly, in an individual or representative or derivative capacity, against the Releasees, in this or any other jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the

Released Claims.

8. The Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims.

9. Neither the Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to the Agreement, may be deemed or used as an admission of wrongdoing in any civil, criminal, administrative, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction.

10. The notice given to the Settlement Class of the settlement set forth in the Agreement and the other matters set forth herein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e) and the requirements of due process.

11. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, the Court hereby retains exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the enforcement of this Judgment; (b) the enforcement of the Agreement; (c) any application for distribution of funds, attorneys' fees or reimbursement of costs and expenses made by Plaintiffs' Counsel; (d) any application for incentive awards for the Plaintiffs; and (e) the distribution of the settlement proceeds to members of the Settlement Class.

12. No person or entity has requested exclusion from the Settlement.

13. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance

with the terms of the Agreement, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void and the parties shall be returned to their respective positions *ex ante*.

14. The Escrow Accounts, into which the Bosal Defendants have deposited assets with a total value of US \$152,000 as the Settlement Amount (as defined in Paragraphs 14 and 25 of the Agreement), plus accrued interest thereon and net of any expenses incurred as contemplated in Paragraph 26 of the Agreement, are approved as Qualified Settlement Funds pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

15. The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 54(a) and (b), that this Judgment should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as a final judgment, as to the parties to the Agreement.

16. The Court's certification of the Settlement Class as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant, including the Bosal Defendants, to contest certification of any other class proposed in this MDL Litigation. The Court's findings in this Judgment shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in the MDL Litigation. No party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Classes as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any class.

17. Accordingly, the Court hereby determines that there is no just reason for delay and directs entry of this Judgment as a final judgment pursuant to Rule 54(b) and directs the Clerk to enter Judgment forthwith.

Dated: February 6, 2023

s/Sean F. Cox

Sean F. Cox

U. S. District Judge